

# Thesis on the Historical Arc of Value and the State 4

THE HISTORY OF HUMANITY: (4)

*"Money itself is the community and cannot bear any other above it."*

*Marx, Grundrisse*

**12)** Nothing could be more normal than those who continue to dominate and enslave humans, to put material goods and their creative work, the material modes of production, as the guide of history, and to propose, as the purpose of history, socialized, nationalized, planned capitalism with **world governance**.

We cannot be surprised at all that the general PLAN of the financial aristocracy, towards the New World Order, has as much Marxism-Leninism and "communism" as barracks, as the brutal **confinement of humanity** to destroy human life imposed from 2020.

We believe that this better explains our current struggle, spreading these theses against everything official, in which we emphasize, **not the objects and modes of production of things, but the invariant relations of exploitation and oppression**.

The **slave-owning invariance of Money**, from ancient Egyptian/Greek/or Roman society, to the unbridled monetary issuance of central banks since 2008/2009, from the slave trade to the World Economic Forum, denounced, always MORE, in the barricades and social struggles of the **21st** century.

**13)** The official history is not only the history of the Kings and princes, of the republicans and democrats, of wars, of the left and the right, of the Pharaohs and political parties, of fascism and anti-fascism... but in general **history told from the point of view of things**, of progress, of the modes of production of things. All of this is **official history**, because they show you the showcase, the decorum, the sample, the surface, the **fetishes...**, systematically hiding the **social relations** of slavery and, most important of all: **the historical and invariant struggle of humanity against MONEY and the STATE**.

The history that really interests us, as proletarians enslaved by world capital, is that of **class domination and oppression** and the struggle against it, the history of the (invariably oppressive) state and communist struggles against all the authoritarianism of money, the historical resistance of the native communities in all parts of the world, against the **courage in process and the consequent enslavement of all humanity...**

The true starting point of a history of humanity is that of the destruction of productive communities. And as we said before, this destruction takes place at different times, in different places, to appropriate things or people of different types and colors, but invariably by the **same historical subject**: value in process, **CAPITAL**, physical/military power concentrated in a **class** which, invariably, concentrates power (over the other communities) in the treasury, temple, money, capital, investment fund, usurious bank, issuing bank..., armies, intelligence services, institutions...

**14)** The periodization of the history of humanity, as if, thanks to the development of the productive forces, life would be better and better is totally false.

The periodization of it on the **immediate** modes of which humans produce is not only false but an **ideological** construction of the bourgeois parties for the workers (slavery, feudalism, capitalism, socialism) especially of the **parties of labor**, whose true creed is always the **progress of value**: "We have to do the bourgeois democratic tasks." Its idealization of capital and its progress toward socialism, typical of Bernstein/Kautsky to Lenin/Trotsky/Stalin, is the same as that of bourgeois economics.

In reality, the **progress of capital** has been the progress of slavery, increasingly generalized of humans, regardless of the Germanic, Asian, tributary, capitalism, socialism "mode of production"...

That is precisely why the official history, the one written by the financial aristocracy, the one that served as the basis for imposing **Leninism, Stalinism, Maoism and the current "communist" ideological systems** ... They deny everything that has been constant in history: the social relations of slavery, domination and oppression. The last straw has been the reification of money itself, **denying it as a social and historical reality of exploitation and oppression**, to the point of ideologically "transforming" it into an object, a necessary evil, a simple means of exchange and therefore compatible with that "socialism" which is nothing more than the legal nationalization of capitalism.

Let us be even more explicit, to criticize/destroy the NARRATIVE made by capital itself. We are not at all interested in the **biology** of capital elaborated by its science (classical bourgeois economics), but in its **necrology** emerging throughout the planet from resistance **to slavery, money and the State**. The revolutionary critique of class society is not concerned with the progress of money and its democratic and/or socialist successes, but in the human struggle for the **TOTAL DESTRUCTION OF MONEY AND EXPLOITATION**.

There was not, for example, slavery first and then feudalism. That is the **FALSE**, and super restricted, version of history, from the point of view of Eurocentric (and racist) feudalism. The historical truth is totally different, in reality it will be **capitalism**, that is, globalized money, which will take **slavery** to its maximum expression in the seventeenth, eighteenth, nineteenth, twentieth and twenty-first centuries.

Moreover, this maximum expression of money and capital ending their process of **human slavery** (because the anatomy of man allows us to understand that of the ape, despite the fact that Darwinian theory itself is totally false) allows us to understand much more clearly that, from the very origin **the "value in process" (money), is the true subject of the destruction of the human community** and, consequently of the slavery of the human being.

This falsification of history to present capitalism as a great advance over the "previous modes of production" is based on 2 **MOTHER IMPOSTURES**, which are its foundation:

- The FALSE history of the capitalist economy emerging idyllically and religiously from the free market of equals.
- The FALSE History of the Emergence of "Socialist Countries" in the 20th Century

**15)** In reality, it is hidden that the **idyllic market of capital**, of free and equal individuals buying and selling objects necessary for their lives, **never existed**. It is an "objective" "scientific" model, based on **central dogmas of bourgeois science**, to resemble even more the exact sciences such as physics, chemistry, cosmography..., which by definition would be "objective" sciences. Economics is constituted as a science precisely **by extracting from history, the experimental and subjective reality** of the will to domination, oppression and slavery.

Only what is "objective" is "scientific", regardless of the will of the subjects, only "scientific" is that which does not depend on the will to enslave and exploit, but the objective laws of exploitation that are independent of the will of the exploited and the exploiter. All the laws of this objective world of the economy extract the true racist, slave-owning, Eurocentric history of Judaism and its sects (Christians, Jesuits, Freemasons, Islamists, Zionists...) from the "chosen people", the social and real basis of the dictatorship of MONEY (monotheism), to make the entire ideological model of "the historical evolution" of the market "with objective laws such as physics" be departed. as economists will say. All economic science comes from that ORIGINAL SIN, from the Supreme Dogma that defines the very origin of the economy in the market, being born spontaneously among autonomous individuals. Just as Darwinism sought to rewrite the history of the struggles of the species in terms of its Judaic Euroracism, applying Malthus's own ideology as the central dogmas of the model, economists would build their vision of society and the world according to that central dogma that is the market. For them, society is the market and it has objective laws, such as the "law of value", such as the secular and popular mythology that the "value of things" depends on the work that has incorporated things.

As in all other sciences, it is defined as "objective" and "scientific", not what has been empirically verified, but what is consistent with the thinking of the plutocracy of its time. By definition, "non-scientific" and "non-objective" is that which is the empirical result of human experience, such as the use

of plants for human health or cosmic knowledge to organize the production of what is necessary. In no case should the model of commodity exchange of free and independent producers be tested..., it is the very definition of economic science that seeks to derive laws of the production and exchange of things, from that ideological model.

In economics, the first dogma is, precisely, to explain **money** (not as a social relation of exploitation, but), as **a thing** and from things, as if it arose "objectively" from exchange, as it was transformed into a general equivalent, as if it were an object that becomes money to the extent that it is an equivalent as economists from Aristotle to Marx affirmed, passing through all kinds of mercantilist economists. physiocrats, classics, neoclassicals...; which obviously presupposes at the same time **denying the real history of humanity** that saw money become (regardless of the different things in which, according to the times and geographical coordinates it was represented) as a **social power of enslavement and domination**.

Marx laughs, when the classics want to deduce the laws of capital, money and the commodity, through the typical Robinsons of two men who meet on an island without their respective social determinations, but ends up accepting **the most gigantic of all the Robinsons** of the economists: that of deducing the economic laws of a society of independent producers who would contribute the fruit of their labor to exchange and from where, Economic science deduces "the law of labor value." All subsequent deductions are, of course, only "scientific", modelable..., but they are not verified experimentally, nor do they have anything to do with the true history of humanity and the development of MONEY as a value in process, enslaving, destroying communities, imposing taxes, demanding usury, arming police and armies....

In real history (apart from these dogmas), exchange is always **preceded, determined, and even armed** by war, tribute, slavery, and usury. The true "market" always implies the secular historical action of VALUE **demolishing, enslaving, starving...** (the famous imperial frigates), destroying every human community, turning human life into dust, until creating the free "individual" who will be the (FALSE) subject of political economy and of that free market between equal "beings", to hide the true subject of CRIME (value) of what they will later call: "capitalist mode of production". Real history shows that **value does not arise from exchange, but precedes and arms it**, according to the very needs of value by valorizing.

That is why the "objectivity of economic laws" is as false as the Darwinian theory of the "evolution of species", the "law of labor value" as the law of contagion and the prejudice of blaming viruses and bacteria for diseases: what is presented as "objective" evolution is the ideological vision, **racist, classist... of the bourgeoisie modeling its world according to its interests**, that is to say, of capital modeling **militarily** and slave-owning, its market and therefore "its world". The robinson deeds of classical economics, as well as the explanation of value from the free and independent "labor" of the producers, have NOTHING to do with real history, with the **process of real money destroying the human community**.

It is not markets that create wars, but on the contrary, all markets in history are the result of war and slavery, and their consolidation, as markets are invariably the product of submission to slavery and/or of pacts and peace of warring forces. The exchanges of secular things between communities have nothing to do with trade. In such cases, there is no value either, and the "exchange" of things has nothing to do with any exchange of equivalents: today anthropology accepts that things were not exchanged as if they had something in common, but as gifts and only to offer pleasure.

On the other hand, the markets for materials and labor power have always been the result of **wars** between capitalist and imperialist forces, as well as the genocide of races considered inferior, the massacres of "competitors" and peoples, slavery with and without phrases. It was the concentration and centralization of capital in the **Empires, aristocracies and races "chosen by God"**, which made their fortune with tribute and usury, which set up the capital markets that control and dispute the materials (gross and premium), without one party ever accusing the other of monopoly or monopsony, because the competition between them (and therefore the war between capitals and bourgeois fractions), which is to be found in the very nature of value **in process**, are constitutive of its own "nature."

All this becomes even clearer when these markets refer to labor **power**, sold as a slave or consolidated as a wage slave, with the myth of the freedom to sell one's life for wages. It should not be forgotten for a moment that this **freedom** to have nothing to sell but their skin, or that of their children (which defines the proletariat), is the result of **centuries of state terrorism**, to destroy common life, to "liberate" (dispossess, expropriate) human beings from all property and from all contact with the natural means of life.

The destruction of the **community and human life by State terrorism** for **millennia** is the only true historical foundation of **the world's Money exploiting and oppressing** humanity in all corners of the world and in all the "countries" armed for this purpose.

***INTERNATIONALIST COMMUNIST GROUP (ICG) 2025***